



WILLIAM C. SCHUBERT
RAYTHEON COMPANY
2000 EAST EL SEGUNDO BLVD.
P.O. BOX 902
EL SEGUNDO CA 90245

COPY MAILED

DEC 03 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
James A. Finch et al.
Application No. 09/945,518
Filed: August 31, 2001
Attorney Docket No. 01W028

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed November 5, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ to revive the above-identified application.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137 (b) is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned June 12, 2004 for failure to timely pay the issue fee on or before June 11, 2004. Accordingly, the Notice of Abandonment was mailed October 12, 2004.

The issue fee and petition fee, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.18, has been charged to deposit account no. 50-0616 as per your authorization. All other requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) having now been met, this application file is being forwarded to the Publishing Division to be processed into a patent.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).